## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ELTI RAMAJ, \*

\*

Petitioner,

\*

v. \* Civil Action No. 18-cv-11232-GAO

JOSEPH D. McDONALD, JR., Plymouth \*
County Sheriff; ANTONE MONIZ, \*
Superintendent of Plymouth County \*

Correctional Facility; MICHAEL J.

McCLEARY, Director Boston Office USCIS; REBECCA ADDUCCI,

Boston Office of ICE,

\*

\*

Respondents.

## TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

June 15, 2018

## TALWANI, D.J.

Petitioner Elti Ramaj is presently in the custody of United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") and is being detained at the Plymouth County Correctional Facility. His Emergency Motion for Writ of Habeas Corpus Ad Prosequendum or in the Alternative for Temporary Restraining Order [#2] seeks an order requiring his transport to Lawrence District Court on June 18, 2018, to answer charges pending against him in a state prosecution. The matter was referred to the undersigned as the emergency duty judge, and a hearing was held on June 15, 2018.

Respondents' counsel explained ICE's concerns that, in light of the Supreme Judicial Court's decision in <u>Lunn v. Commonwealth</u>, et al., 78 N.E.3d 1143 (Mass. 2017), state officials may not return an ICE detainee so transported for a state court proceeding to ICE custody. In <u>Lunn</u>, the individual was held against his will, and the parties agreed that his detention

constituted an arrest under Massachusetts law. <u>Id.</u> at 1146. The Court then considered the question of "whether Massachusetts court officers have the authority to arrest someone at the request of Federal immigration authorities, pursuant to a civil immigration detainer, solely because the Federal authorities believe the person is subject to civil removal." <u>Id.</u> The Court concluded that there is no Federal statute conferring such powers to arrest on state officers, and that nothing in the statutes or common law of Massachusetts authorizes civil arrests in these circumstances. <u>Id. Lunn</u> did not touch on the circumstance where an individual in ICE custody seeks to appear before a state court, and agrees to be detained by Massachusetts court officers so that he has the opportunity to answer state charges. Where such an individual agrees in advance to return to ICE custody or agrees to state officials returning him to ICE custody, <u>Lunn</u> is inapplicable.

In any event, pursuant to the discussion at the June 15, 2018, hearing, and by the consent of the parties to this case, <sup>1</sup> the court enters the following temporary restraining order to allow Petitioner to respond to criminal charges pending against him in state court.

United States Immigrations and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") is ordered to transfer Petitioner, who is presently in ICE custody, to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts to attend proceedings before the Lawrence District Court on June 18, 2018, at 9:00 a.m.<sup>2</sup>

The Plymouth County Sheriff, or the county sheriff who is responsible for transporting Petitioner to and from the June 18 proceedings, is ordered to return Petitioner to ICE custody

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Respondents assert that Antone Moniz, Superintendent of the Plymouth House of Corrections, is the only proper Respondent in this action. <u>See</u> Mem. in Support of Mot. to Dismiss Petition 2 [#12]. Regardless of whether the other individuals named as Respondents must be dismissed, Mr. Moniz has consented to the entry of this order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ICE will continue to have legal custody of Petitioner at all times. This order addresses only physical custody, to the extent that ICE determines it must transfer physical custody to state officials at any point in order to allow Petitioner to appear at the June 18 proceedings.

Case 1:18-cv-11232-GAO Document 15 Filed 06/15/18 Page 3 of 3

immediately at the conclusion of the June 18 proceedings.

Petitioner is ordered to surrender himself to ICE custody, or to the county sheriff who

will transport him to ICE custody, immediately at the conclusion of the June 18 hearing.

Petitioner is ordered not to go anywhere else, regardless of any order or decision issued by a state

court, and he shall make no attempt at evading return to ICE custody. Petitioner's attorneys shall

ensure that he complies with this order and returns to ICE custody immediately.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: June 15, 2018

/s/ Indira Talwani

United States District Judge

3